MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I:- GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-4508-01			
San Antonio Orthopaedic Surgery Center	TWCC No.:			
400 Concord Plaza #200				
San Antonio, TX 78216	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address	Date of Injury:			
State Office of Risk Management	Employer's Name:			
Box 45				
	Insurance Carrier's No.:			

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CDT C. L.(A)		
From	To	CPT Code(s) or Description Amount in Dispute Amount		Amount Due
05/22/03	05/22/03	29880 - Arthroscopy	\$2,667.20	\$0.00
05/22/03	05/22/03	29877 - Arthroscopy	\$1,213.80	\$0.00
			Total Amount Due:	\$0.00

*PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Not paid fair and reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Office maintains that a fair and reasonable reimbursement was made on the billed services.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.5% to 256.3% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the low end of the Ingenix range. Per NCCI edits, CPT Code 29877 is a component of CPT code 29880 and is not reimbursable. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

TART VE"COMMISSION DECISION	THE RESIDENCE OF A STATE OF THE	Personne de la Santa de la Santa de La Carda de La Car
Based upon the review of the disputed heal not entitled to additional reimbursement. Findings and Decision by:	thcare services, the Medical Review Divis	ion has determined that the requestor is
Marquerite Jostu	Marguerite Foster	July 29, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HE	ARING	
Either party to this medical dispute may disa a hearing must be in writing and it must be redays of your receipt of this decision (28 To provider and placed in the Austin Represent after it was mailed and the first working day Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (5.7). The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	eceived by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceexas Administrative Code § 148.3). This atives box on 1.1 5. This Decision was placed in the for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Clerk of the Decision should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Should be sent to: Shou	redings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty). Decision was mailed to the health care sion is deemed received by you five days the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas k of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box bould be attached to the request. The proceedings of the opposing party the second
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in esp	añol acerca de ésta correspondencia, fa	vor de llamar a 512-804-4812.
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVER	Y CERTIFICATION	manera a construir
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Signature of Insurance Carrier:	Decision in the Austin Representative's bo	Date: